UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Eusebio Landaverde-Rivera Case Numb	er: _		
present and	ance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention heand was represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the defendant pending trial in this case.			
I find by a p	FINDINGS OF FACT a preponderance of the evidence that:			
×		/ adn	dmitted for permanent residence	
			•	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceed	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United Stat	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
×	There is a record of the defendant's failure to satisfy a Court	orde	dered fine.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact	by flo	fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	y	years imprisonment.	
The at the time of	he Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrie of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.		Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2. The	. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably as DIRECTIONS REGARDING DE he defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General o	sure FEN7 r his/	NTION s/her designated representative for confinement in	
a correction appeal. The of the United	ons facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting the defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private ted States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the perset to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY I	or se consu on in conn	serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nnection with a court proceeding.	
	IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least the copy of the motion for review reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least the copy of the motion for review reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least the copy of the motion for review reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least the copy of the copy of the motion for review reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least the copy of the motion for review reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least the copy of the motion for review reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least the copy of the motion for review reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least the copy of the motion for review reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least the copy of the motion for review reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least the copy of the copy of the motion for review reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least the copy of	l with	ith the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to	
Services su	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be consufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to a see the potential third party custodian.	nside Iow I	idered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria v Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DA	DATED this 2 nd day of December, 2011.			
	Sur			

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge